2017-2018 Pupil Premium Strategy Review

- The small cohort size meant that overall figures were strongly affected by a small number of PP students presenting multiple barriers to learning.
- Contextual analysis showed PP students were attaining close to expected while PP attainment outcomes lower and were strongly affected by the number of students presenting multiple barriers to learning e.g. mid-phase admissions from in and out of Borough, historic attendance and engagement issues, complex welfare issues. These were predominantly WBRI Girls
- VA indicates that higher and lower attaining PP students narrowed the gap to non PP from previous cohort
- CVA indicates that higher attaining and lower attaining PP students had higher P8 outcomes than non PP from previous cohort
- Attendance for PP students continued to improve despite the number of PP students classed as Persistent Absentees was still too high
- Literacy interventions were effective, particularly in raising reading ages
- PP students in Year 11 showed a disproportionate drop from in year assessments to actual GCSE outcomes

| Review of the 2017/18 academic year | | Total pupil premium allocation for 2017/18 academic year: £358,105 | |
|--|------------------------|---|--|
| Summary of objectives | Summary of expenditure | Impact on progress and attainment of eligible pupils | Comments |
| Quality of teaching for all | 220,000 | Progress and attainment | Amend strategy to address the |
| Further Increase attainment and progress to continue to close gaps and be above national | | outcomes did not match previous year's trend in closing the gap to non-PP | widening of attainment gap between mock results and actual results for Y11 PP students |
| Continued improvement in outcomes in mathematics for PP | | | |
| Improved outcomes for all identified students through | | For the majority of PP students, engagement in extended after | |

Exclusive to The Key for School Leaders. Save time, work smarter, make a difference.

The Key for School Leaders is the national information service that gives members instant answers to questions on all aspects of managing a school. We offer high-quality, impartial information from authoritative sources, and a wealth of practical resources, including template forms, case studies, and concise summaries of government policies and legislation.

To view the article explaining how to use this document, or to try the service, visit https://schoolleaders.thekeysupport.com

© The Key Support Services Limited. For terms of use, visit https://schoolleaders.thekeysupport.com/info/terms-of-use

| extended after school sessions in | | school sessions and breakfast | Continue and extend to look at |
|--|--------|---|---|
| identified subjects/areas | | classes was very good and tracking indicated impact | more innovative strategies for harder to reach students |
| Targeted support | | Literacy interventions showed | |
| Improve literacy levels including reading and vocabulary | 45,000 | good level of impact, particularly in student reading ages | |
| Mentoring, support and challenge from Ever6 Champion for PP students with range of barriers to learning | 5,000 | Variable impact on progress and attainment with very difficult students | <i>Re-examine criteria for selecting case load to maximize impact</i> |
| Improved Y7 & Y8 Maths outcomes | 55,000 | In school tracking showed improved outcomes for PP students in KS3 | Continue emphasis on PP students for attendance |
| Other approaches | | Attendance for PP students | |
| Improve attendance and reduce PAs | | continued to improve. PP students continued to be over represented in the PA numbers. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |